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Strategic Plan 2015-2020

MISSION
To inspire a passion for learning that empowers all students to achieve personal excellence

VISION
Students will achieve personal excellence when everyone demonstrates:

- Commitment to continuous improvement
- High expectations for academic, social, emotional and behavioral growth
- Effective collaboration, communication, critical thinking and creativity
- Ownership, responsibility and accountability for growth and development


## GOALS

Continuous Student Growth \&
Achievement

- Supportive Learning Environment
- High Quality Workforce
- Family and Community Partnerships
- Efficient and Effective Use of Resources

CORE VALUES/COMMITMENTS
We believe students learn in different ways and at different rates.

We believe it is our responsibility to guide students towards self-sufficiency, ownership, responsibility and accountability for their own learning.

We believe in providing a safe and secure environment in order to foster a community of collaborative learners.
We value continuous improvement through teamwork, collaboration and shared leadership at all levels.

We believe a high quality staff is essential to a high-achieving school system.
We believe that corroborative partnerships and effective communication among the community, home and school accelerates student success.

We believe the District must be a good steward of community resources.

## Continuous

Student
Growth \&
Achievement

| Antloch School District 34 | Antloch <br> Elementary School | Hillcrest <br> Elementary School | Oakland <br> Elementary School | W.C. Petty Elementary School | Antioch Upper Grade School |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 964 Spafford Street Antioch, IL 60002 847-838-8401 | 817 N. Main Street <br> Antioch IL. 60002 <br> 847-838-8901 | 433 E. Depot Street Antioch IL 60002 847-838-8001 | 818 E. Grass Lake Road Lake Villa, IL 60046 847-858-8501 | $\begin{aligned} & 850 \text { Hightiew Drive } \\ & \text { Antioch IL } 60002 \\ & \text { 847-838-8101 } \end{aligned}$ | sco Highview Dive Antioch IL 60002 847-838-8301 |
| www.antioch34.com |  |  |  |  |  |

## Terminology

- NWEA - Northwest Educational Measurement Association company that publishes MAP
- MAP - Measures of Academic Progress test which is given two to three times a year via a computer. The test is dynamic, As a student responds to questions, the test responds to the student, adjusting up or down in difficulty.
- RIT - Unit of measure for MAP assessment, the score
- Conditional Growth Index-normative growth metric. It is a standardized measure of observed student or school growth compared to the 2015 NWEA student or school growth norms.


##  <br> |

## READING

NWEA MAP Spring Reading \%ile Distribution


## NWEA MAP Spring Reading Percent of Students Meeting Growth Targets



40\%
$30 \%$

| 20\% | Spring 14-15 | Spring 15-16 | Spring 16-17 | Spring 17-18 | Spring 18-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Kindergarten | 64\% |  | 65\% | 80\% | 71\% |
| - Ist Grade | 71\% | 70\% | 50\% | 55\% | 56\% |
| - 2nd Grade | 69\% | 65\% | 63\% | 59\% | 57\% |
| - 3rd Grade | 59\% | 50\% | 49\% | 57\% | 51\% |
| - 4th Grade | 55\% | 54\% | 57\% | 50\% | 51\% |
| $\cdots$ 5th Grade | 57\% | 60\% | 61\% | 58\% | 63\% |
| - 6th Grade | 53\% | 51\% | 58\% | 61\% | 53\% |
| - 7th Grade | 54\% | 62\% | 49\% | 52\% | 48\% |
| -8th Grade | 62\% | 50\% | 56\% | 55\% | 47\% |
| _District | 60.0\% | 57.3\% | 56.3\% | 58.5\% | 54.7\% |

NWEA MAP Spring Reading Average RIT Percentile
90\%

80\%


50\%

40\%

30\%

| 20\% | Spring 14-15 | Spring 15-16 | Spring 16-17 | Spring 17-18 | Spring 18-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -Kindergarten | 58\% | 62\% | 64\% | $71 \%$ | 65\% |
| - I st Grade | 56\% | 68\% | 67\% | 61\% | 67\% |
| - 2nd Grade | 59\% | 65\% | 63\% | 58\% | 54\% |
| -3rd Grade | 58\% | 60\% | $61 \%$ | 60\% | 55\% |
| - 4th Grade | 61\% | 62\% | 62\% | 59\% | 58\% |
| -5th Grade | 62\% | 65\% | $61 \%$ | 60\% | $61 \%$ |
| -6th Grade | 59\% | 57\% | 59\% | 62\% | 58\% |
| - 7th Grade | 58\% | 62\% | $61 \%$ | 58\% | 58\% |
| - 8th Grade | 64\% | 60\% | 66\% | $61 \%$ | 58\% |

## NWEA MAP Spring Reading Conditional Growth Index Percentile by Grade Level

90\%


40\%

30\%

| 20\% | Spring 14-15 | Spring 15-16 | Spring 16-17 | Spring 17-18 | Spring 18-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -Kindergarten | 60\% |  | 59\% | 72\% | 67\% |
| - I st Grade | 63\% | 63\% | 49\% | 52\% | 54\% |
| - 2nd Grade | $61 \%$ | 59\% | 54\% | 57\% | 54\% |
| - 3rd Grade | 54\% | 48\% | 47\% | 53\% | 47\% |
| -4th Grade | 52\% | 52\% | 54\% | 49\% | 48\% |
| -5th Grade | 54\% | 56\% | 55\% | 54\% | 55\% |
| -6th Grade | 49\% | 48\% | 53\% | 57\% | 50\% |
| - 7th Grade | $51 \%$ | 57\% | 48\% | $51 \%$ | 47\% |
| - 8th Grade | 57\% | $51 \%$ | 56\% | 54\% | 49\% |

NWEA MAP Spring Reading IEP Average RIT Percentile
90\%

| Growth |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $80 \%$ | of $0.6 \%$ |

70\%


## NWEA MAP Spring Reading IEP Conditional Growth Index Percentile by Grade Level



| 20\% | Spring 14-15 | Spring 15-16 | Spring 16-17 | Spring 17-18 | Spring 18-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Kindergarten | 53.6\% |  | 59.4\% | 63.9\% | 63.4\% |
| - Ist Grade | 69.8\% | 75.6\% | 42.8\% | 51.5\% | 56.7\% |
| - 2nd Grade | 49.3\% | 44.8\% | 44.1\% | 41.8\% | 26.8\% |
| - 3rd Grade | 50.3\% | 47.0\% | 41.4\% | 51.8\% | 40.2\% |
| $\bigcirc$ 4th Grade | 47.7\% | 60.4\% | 44.8\% | 54.0\% | 53.1\% |
| $\bigcirc 5$ th Grade | 41.0\% | 48.2\% | 46.8\% | 44.5\% | 47.0\% |
| -6th Grade | 48.1\% | 46.2\% | 55.4\% | 62.9\% | 43.9\% |
| - 7th Grade | 47.4\% | 52.5\% | 55.0\% | 62.1\% | 46.0\% |
| _ 8th Grade | 55.3\% | 44.9\% | 53.9\% | 52.9\% | 46.2\% |
| -District | 50.1\% | 51.8\% | 48.7\% | 53.8\% | 46.5\% |

## NWEA MAP Spring Reading IEP Percent of Students Meeting Growth Targets

| 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% | Growth |  |  |  |  |
| 70\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% | Spring 14-15 | Spring 15-16 | Spring 16-17 | Spring 17-18 | Spring 18-19 |
| -Kindergarten | 47.1\% |  | 61.1\% | 77.4\% | 68.0\% |
| - Ist Grade | 75.0\% | 87.5\% | 36.8\% | 59.1\% | 56.3\% |
| -2nd Grade | 45.2\% | 40.0\% | 50.0\% | 37.5\% | 17.2\% |
| - 3rd Grade | 50.0\% | 48.4\% | 38.1\% | 56.7\% | 43.6\% |
| - 4th Grade | 36.7\% | 60.5\% | 47.6\% | 60.9\% | 48.4\% |
| -5th Grade | 17.9\% | 50.0\% | 45.5\% | 45.8\% | 48.4\% |
| -6th Grade | 34.0\% | 44.4\% | 64.5\% | 68.3\% | 39.6\% |
| - 7th Grade | 40.0\% | 48.8\% | 56.0\% | 67.6\% | 41.5\% |
| - 8th Grade | 42.9\% | 46.4\% | 50.0\% | 64.0\% | 42.9\% |
| $\bigcirc$ District | 41.0\% | 52.0\% | 49.1\% | 59.1\% | 44.3\% |



NWEA MAP Spring Reading Gifted Conditional Growth Index Percentile by Grade Level 100\%


NWEA MAP Spring Reading Gifted Percent of Students Meeting Growth Targets by Grade Level

100\%


NWEA MAP Spring Reading - Reading Recovery Average RIT Percentile by Grade Level 100\%

90\%

80\%

70\%

60\%

50\%

40\% 37.7\% 37.9\% 39.9\%


## NWEA MAP Spring Reading - Reading Recovery Conditional Growth Index Percentile by Grade Level



MATH

NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics \%ile Distribution


NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Percent of Students Meeting Growth Targets


30\%

| 20\% | Spring 14-15 | Spring 15-16 | Spring 16-17 | Spring 17-18 | Spring 18-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Kindergarten |  |  | 69\% | 90\% | 86\% |
| - Ist Grade | 80\% | 81\% | 67\% | 66\% | 84\% |
| - 2nd Grade | 55\% | 67\% | 62\% | 68\% | 68\% |
| - 3rd Grade | 41\% | 44\% | 47\% | 52\% | 57\% |
| - 4 th Grade | 51\% | 52\% | 46\% | 52\% | 56\% |
| - 5th Grade | 53\% | 67\% | 69\% | 73\% | 75\% |
| -6th Grade | 55\% | 54\% | 45\% | 67\% | 66\% |
| - 7th Grade | 49\% | 61\% | 53\% | 56\% | 54\% |
| --8th Grade | 54\% | 51\% | 53\% | 57\% | 52\% |
| $\bigcirc$ District | 54.3\% | 58.7\% | 56.3\% | 64.6\% | 65.6\% |

NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Average RIT Percentile
90\%


40\%

30\%

| 20\% | Spring 14-15 | Spring 15-16 | Spring 16-17 | Spring 17-18 | Spring 18-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -Kindergarten |  | 62\% | $61 \%$ | 76\% | 70\% |
| - I st Grade | 64\% | 69\% | 62\% | 67\% | 76\% |
| - 2nd Grade | 57\% | 64\% | 63\% | 63\% | 61\% |
| -3rd Grade | 50\% | 55\% | 57\% | 64\% | 59\% |
| - 4th Grade | 54\% | 53\% | 56\% | 60\% | $61 \%$ |
| -5th Grade | $51 \%$ | $61 \%$ | 62\% | 62\% | 64\% |
| -6th Grade | 52\% | 49\% | 53\% | 57\% | 57\% |
| - 7th Grade | 58\% | 59\% | 52\% | 56\% | 57\% |
| - 8th Grade | $61 \%$ | $61 \%$ | 60\% | 58\% | 59\% |

NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Conditional Growth Index Percentile by Grade Level


NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics IEP Average RIT Percentile 90\% $80 \%$ Growth
$70 \% \quad$ of $8.2 \%$

| 70\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% | Spring 14-15 | Spring 15-16 | Spring 16-17 | Spring 17-18 | Spring 18-19 |
| -Kindergarten |  | 51.6\% | 57.8\% | 65.1\% | 51.1\% |
| - Ist Grade | 55.3\% | 56.3\% | 40.9\% | 47.5\% | 57.4\% |
| - 2nd Grade | 29.2\% | 39.6\% | 46.9\% | 38.4\% | 34.3\% |
| - 3rd Grade | 26.2\% | 35.3\% | 31.8\% | 40.0\% | 39.3\% |
| $\sim$ - 4th Grade | 28.3\% | 28.4\% | 29.3\% | 33.0\% | 38.4\% |
| - 5th Grade | 23.1\% | 33.5\% | 36.1\% | 35.1\% | 32.8\% |
| - 6th Grade | 26.8\% | 24.6\% | 23.4\% | 32.3\% | 29.1\% |
| - 7th Grade | 31.7\% | 30.8\% | 17.7\% | 28.1\% | 35.3\% |
| - 8th Grade | 30.9\% | 27.8\% | 28.4\% | 28.2\% | 32.8\% |
| $\sim$ District | 29.7\% | 35.0\% | 33.9\% | 38.2\% | 37.9\% |

NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics IEP Conditional Growth Index Percentile by Grade Level


30\%

| 20\% | Spring 14-15 | Spring 15-16 | Spring 16-17 | Spring 17-18 | Spring 18-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -Kindergarten |  |  | 61.6\% | 73.1\% | 67.4\% |
| - Ist Grade | 89.4\% | 80.7\% | 54.0\% | 61.8\% | 69.7\% |
| - -2nd Grade | 39.9\% | 57.1\% | 53.4\% | 45.0\% | 51.0\% |
| - 3rd Grade | 38.0\% | 44.8\% | 38.0\% | 42.0\% | 43.8\% |
| - 4th Grade | 48.1\% | 39.2\% | 45.1\% | 44.4\% | 50.4\% |
| -5th Grade | 41.5\% | 62.3\% | 52.2\% | 60.9\% | $51.1 \%$ |
| -6th Grade | 49.1\% | 41.7\% | 37.5\% | 63.2\% | 48.9\% |
| - 7th Grade | 45.5\% | 52.0\% | 43.3\% | 49.4\% | 46.6\% |
| -8th Grade | 47.0\% | 46.5\% | 45.9\% | 46.8\% | 46.6\% |
| $\bigcirc$ District | 47.0\% | 50.6\% | 47.8\% | 55.0\% | 51.8\% |

## NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics IEP Percent of Students Meeting Growth Targets

| 100\% | Growth |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90\% | of 12.3\% |  |  |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| $60 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% | Spring 14-15 | Spring 15-16 | Spring 16-17 | Spring 17-18 | Spring 18-19 |
| - Kindergarten |  |  | 66.7\% | 80.7\% | 74.1\% |
| - Ist Grade | 93.3\% | 87.5\% | 57.9\% | 72.7\% | 75.0\% |
| -2nd Grade | 25.8\% | 63.2\% | 45.8\% | 45.2\% | 56.7\% |
| - 3rd Grade | 23.5\% | 48.4\% | 38.1\% | 40.0\% | 43.6\% |
| - 4 th Grade | 51.7\% | 31.6\% | 40.5\% | 39.1\% | 53.1\% |
| -5th Grade | 50.0\% | 61.5\% | 53.3\% | 66.7\% | 64.5\% |
| -6th Grade | 55.3\% | 44.4\% | 38.7\% | 73.2\% | 52.1\% |
| - 7th Grade | 36.0\% | 53.5\% | 44.0\% | 52.9\% | 50.0\% |
| -8th Grade | 35.7\% | 41.4\% | 47.2\% | 44.0\% | 47.6\% |
| -District | 43.7\% | 50.7\% | 47.9\% | 58.6\% | 56.0\% |

## PART <br> 

## Cohort Data Explained

- When looking at Cohort Data, all students in Kindergarten (or $1^{\text {st }}$ or $2^{\text {nd }}$ ) in the given cohort are selected, and then followed throughout their years in District 34.
- Students who have moved in or out are not counted in this specific data set


## Cohort Data Examples

# Example - Cohort Breakdown (I-20\%ile) 

This and next two slides show a
breakdown of each band of the cohort's data over the 6 years


# Example - Cohort Breakdown (5I-65\%ile) 

|  | Student | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student 1 | 64 | 85 | 66 | 66 | 71 | 70 | - |  |
|  | Student 2 | 64 | 63 | 73 | 61 | 35 | 88 | 43 |  |
|  | Student 3 | 64 | 73 | 68 | 59 | 35 | 65 | 63 |  |
|  | Student 4 | 64 | 36 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | Student 5 | 64 | 63 | 58 | 32 | 28 | - | - |  |
|  | Student 6 | 64 | 24 | 68 | 35 | 37 | 39 | 50 |  |
|  | Student 7 | 62 | 68 | 53 | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | Student 8 | 62 | 90 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | Student 9 | 62 | 41 | 58 | 16 | 23 | 25 | 28 |  |
|  | Student 10 | 62 | 66 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | Student 11 | 62 | 18 | 50 | 61 | 40 | 62 | 74 |  |
|  | Student 12 | 62 | 90 | 81 | 89 | 85 | 93 | 96 |  |
|  | Student 13 | 62 | 71 | 81 | 71 | 82 | 82 | 85 | -1\%8ESE |
|  | Student 14 | 62 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | Student 15 | 59 | 52 | 58 | 59 | 59 | 67 | 80 | \\|ntuencers |
|  | Student 16 | 59 | 66 | 61 | 61 | - | - | - |  |
|  | Student 17 | 59 | 28 | 58 | 53 | 59 | 55 | 83 |  |
| - $0^{\circ}$ | Student 18 | 59 | 58 | 83 | 48 | 66 | 57 | 50 |  |
| Degill | Student 19 | 59 | 77 | - | - | - | 47 | 58 |  |
| -4 | Student 20 | 59 | 36 | 14 | 21 | 25 | 25 | 31 |  |
| With 43 | Student 21 | 59 | 83 | 83 | 59 | 10 | 16 | 31 | End with 3 |
|  | Student 22 | 59 | 41 | 77 | 61 | 59 | 70 | 63 |  |
| Students | Student 23 | 59 | 22 | 25 | - | 28 | 32 | 50 | Students |
|  | Student 24 | 56 | 68 | 40 | 59 | 43 | 55 | 45 | Studenis |
|  | Student 25 | 56 | 63 | 37 | 51 | 48 | 57 | 60 |  |
|  | Student 26 | 56 | 33 | 42 | 17 | 30 | 32 | 22 |  |
|  | Student 27 | 56 | 44 | 83 | 66 | 51 | 39 | 67 |  |
|  | Student 28 | 56 | 52 | 66 | 82 | 90 | 80 | 76 |  |
|  | Student 29 | 56 | 60 | 61 | 69 | 28 | 47 | 43 |  |
|  | Student 30 | 54 | 44 | 81 | 61 | - | - | - |  |
|  | Student 31 | 54 | 52 | 45 | 53 | 35 | 55 | 60 |  |
|  | Student 32 | 54 | 44 | 58 | 48 | 61 | 47 | 58 |  |
|  | Student 33 | 54 | 41 | 79 | 83 | 37 | 65 | 65 |  |
|  | Student 34 | 54 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | Student 35 | 54 | 71 | 40 | 61 | 56 | 70 | 72 |  |
|  | Student 36 | 54 | 68 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | Student 37 | 54 | 24 | 37 | 37 | 48 | 65 | 24 |  |
|  | Student 38 | 51 | 55 | 58 | 51 | 61 | 85 | 40 | -igcost |
|  | Student 39 | 51 | 66 | 58 | 80 | 64 | 32 | 35 | Big\%est |
|  | Student 40 | 51 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | Student 41 | 51 | 49 | 30 | 35 | 10 | 60 | 45 | ntulencers |
|  | Student 42 | 51 | 12 | 45 | 19 | 25 | 29 | 22 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | Student 43 | 51 | 52 | 9 | 23 | 35 | 44 | 22 |  |
|  | Average | 57.79 | 53.73 | 56.69 | 52.94 | 45.75 | 54.84 | 52.34 |  |

## Example - Cohort Breakdown (96-99\%ile)

## Begin

with 28
Students

| Student | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student 1 | 99 | 96 | 97 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 98 |
| Student 2 | 99 | 93 | 98 | - | - | - | - |
| Student 3 | 99 | 98 | 94 | 96 | 98 | 97 | 95 |
| Student 4 | 99 | 95 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Student 5 | 99 | 99 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Student 6 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 99 | 96 | 96 | 89 |
| Student 7 | 98 | 94 | 99 | 99 | 96 | 97 | 97 |
| Student 8 | 98 | 93 | 96 | 97 | 96 | 98 | 86 |
| Student 9 | 98 | 92 | 71 | 82 | 85 | 90 | 93 |
| Student 10 | 98 | 92 | 75 | 80 | 91 | 82 | 83 |
| Student 11 | 98 | 77 | 88 | - | - | - | - |
| Student 12 | 98 | 94 | 98 | 99 | 94 | 95 | 89 |
| Student 13 | 98 | 86 | 83 | 94 | 92 | 76 | 88 |
| Student 14 | 98 | 98 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Student 15 | 97 | 98 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 89 | 92 |
| Student 16 | 97 | 93 | 93 | 99 | 83 | 92 | 91 |
| Student 17 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 96 |
| Student 18 Wulullents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student 19 | 97 | 89 | 91 | 92 | 97 | 97 | 96 |
| Student 20 | 97 | 98 | 93 | 96 | 93 | 97 | 93 |
| Student 21 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 98 | 97 |
| Student 22 | 96 | 92 | 93 | 93 | 90 | 89 | 94 |
| Student 23 | 96 | 77 | 79 | 66 | 80 | 55 | 70 |
| Student 24 | 96 | 99 | 88 | 91 | 53 | 32 | 82 |
| Student 25 | 96 | 90 | 85 | 93 | 92 | 93 | 96 |
| Student 26 | 96 | 95 | 99 | 99 | - | - | - |
| Student 27 | 96 | 89 | 86 | 92 | 85 | 89 | 92 |
| Student 28 | 96 | 73 | 95 | 89 | 83 | 70 | 67 |
| Average | 97.39 | 92.32 | 89.44 | 91.96 | 89.86 | 87.00 | 90.05 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NWEA MAP } \\
& \text { Spring Reading } \\
& \text { Percentile Band } \\
& \text { Distribution } \\
& \text { Over Time }
\end{aligned}
$$

Class of 2019
NWEA MAP Spring Reading Percentile Band Distribution Over Time


Class of 2020
NWEA MAP Spring Reading Percentile Band Distribution Over Time


## Class of 2021

NWEA MAP Spring Reading Percentile Band Distribution Over Time


Class of 2022
NWEA MAP Spring Reading Percentile Band Distribution Over Time


Class of 2023
NWEA MAP Spring Reading Percentile Band Distribution Over Time


NWEA MAP Spring Reading Percentile Band Distribution Over Time


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NWEA MAP } \\
& \text { Spring Math } \\
& \text { Percentile Band } \\
& \text { Distribution } \\
& \text { Over Time }
\end{aligned}
$$

Class of 2019
NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Percentile Band Distribution Over Time


Class of 2020
NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Percentile Band Distribution Over College Ready \& Above:
Decrease of $12.2 \%$ $100 \%$
$90 \%$
$80 \%$ Time


Class of 2021
NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Percentile Band Distribution Over
College Ready \& Above: Increase of 0\%

100\% Time


Class of 2022
NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Percentile Band Distribution Over Time


Class of 2023
NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Percentile Band Distribution Over Time


Class of 2024
NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Percentile Band Distribution Over Time


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NWEA MAP } \\
& \text { Spring Reading } \\
& \text { Average } \\
& \text { Percentile Band } \\
& \text { by Starting } \\
& \text { Cohort Band }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Class of 2019

NWEA MAP Spring Reading Average Percentile by Starting Cohort Band

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Class of 2020

NWEA MAP Spring Reading Average Percentile by Starting Cohort Band


## Class of 2021

NWEA MAP Spring Reading Average Percentile by Starting Chort Band


## Class of 2022

NWEA MAP Spring Reading Average Percentile by Starting Cohort Band


## Class of 2023

NWEA MAP Spring Reading Average Percentile by Starting Cohort Band


## Class of 2024

NWEA MAP Spring Reading Average Percentile by Starting Cohort Band


| 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kinder | I st | 2nd | 3rd |
| -0-20\%ile (Intensive Risk) | 13.3\% | 23.4\% | 22.6\% | 16.6\% |
| -21-35\%ile (At-Risk) | 27.1\% | 27.8\% | 37.4\% | 37.4\% |
| $\bigcirc 36-50 \%$ ile (AYP/Meets) | 44.2\% | 39.4\% | 49.2\% | 45.5\% |
| -51-65\%ile (HS Ready) | 59.4\% | 54.3\% | 58.8\% | 58.4\% |
| -66-75\%ile (College Ready) | 70.0\% | 58.8\% | 57.4\% | 47.5\% |
| - 76-89\%ile (AP Success) | 82.5\% | 71.7\% | 71.3\% | 67.9\% |
| - 90-95\%ile (State Honors) | 93.1\% | 82.5\% | 81.4\% | 79.7\% |
| $\ldots>95 \%$ ile (Nat'l Merit Scholar) | 97.9\% | 89.5\% | 86.0\% | 83.7\% |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { NWEA MAP } \\
\text { Spring Math } \\
\text { Average } \\
\text { Percentile Band } \\
\text { by Starting } \\
\text { Cohort Band }
\end{gathered}
$$

Class of 2019
NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Average Percentile by Starting Cohort Band

|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Class of 2020

NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Average Percentile by Starting Cohort Band

| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80\% |  | ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 70\% |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0\% | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th | 7th |
| - 0-20\%ile (Intensive Risk) | 11.4\% | 18.2\% | 21.9\% | 30.3\% | 29.4\% | 34.0\% |
| -21-35\%ile (At-Risk) | 27.0\% | 33.5\% | 33.7\% | 39.5\% | 39.1\% | 40.7\% |
| -36-50\%ile (AYP/Meets) | 44.4\% | 47.5\% | 49.9\% | 60.4\% | 56.3\% | 56.7\% |
| - 51-65\%ile (HS Ready) | 58.2\% | 52.6\% | 57.6\% | 66.8\% | 61.8\% | 60.9\% |
| - 66-75\%ile (College Ready) | 70.0\% | 67.4\% | 73.3\% | 82.5\% | 78.6\% | 79.1\% |
| - 76-89\%ile (AP Success) | 81.9\% | 75.4\% | 79.9\% | 87.5\% | 79.5\% | 80.8\% |
| - 90-95\%ile (State Honors) | 92.5\% | 82.5\% | 87.8\% | 91.6\% | 83.7\% | 77.3\% |
| $\longrightarrow$ - ${ }^{\text {ch\%ile ( }}$ Nat'I Merit Scholar) | 97.5\% | 94.0\% | 95.0\% | 98.3\% | 92.8\% | 92.8\% |

## Class of 2021

NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Average Percentile by Starting Cohort Band

| $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 90 \% \\ 80 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $60 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 \% \longrightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0\% 2nd 3rd 0 $^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\simeq 0-20 \%$ ile (Intensive Risk) | 10.4\% | 18.2\% | 18.0\% | 24.4\% | 16.2\% |
| - 21-35\%ile (At-Risk) | 28.1\% | 36.7\% | 31.0\% | 36.0\% | 34.0\% |
| -36-50\%ile (AYP/Meets) | 45.2\% | 46.3\% | 47.3\% | 55.7\% | 54.6\% |
| -51-65\%ile (HS Ready) | 59.3\% | 59.8\% | 61.2\% | 71.8\% | 63.8\% |
| - 66-75\%ile (College Ready) | 70.0\% | 64.5\% | 65.7\% | 73.8\% | 68.1\% |
| -76-89\%ile (AP Success) | 83.0\% | 75.1\% | 82.0\% | 87.7\% | 80.3\% |
| - 90-95\%ile (State Honors) | 93.1\% | 91.9\% | 94.4\% | 95.8\% | 88.4\% |
| $\longrightarrow>95 \%$ ile (Nat'l Merit Scholar) | 98.6\% | 98.0\% | 98.2\% | 99.0\% | 96.3\% |

## Class of 2022

NWEA MAP Spring Mathematics Average Percentile by Starting Cohort Band

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

NWEA MAP Spring Math Average Percentile by Starting Cohort Band

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Class of 2024

NWEA MAP Spring Math Average Percentile by Starting Cohort Band


| 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 0-20\%ile (Intensive Risk) | 10.2\% | 22.0\% | 24.8\% | 20.2\% |
| - 21-35\%ile (At-Risk) | 27.8\% | 39.8\% | 49.1\% | 4.1\% |
| - $36-50 \%$ ile (AYP/Meets) | 44.3\% | 47.3\% | 52.0\% | 49.1\% |
| $\bigcirc 51-65 \%$ ile (HS Ready) | 58.4\% | 60.7\% | 56.2\% | 52.2\% |
| -66-75\%ile (College Ready) | 70.5\% | 68.6\% | 68.7\% | 65.2\% |
| - 76-89\%ile (AP Success) | 82.4\% | 78.0\% | 76.6\% | 73.7\% |
| - 90-95\%ile (State Honors) | 92.8\% | 88.4\% | 85.8\% | 85.9\% |
| ->95\%ile (Nat'I Merit Scholar) | 97.9\% | 95.9\% | 92.1\% | 92.9\% |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NWEA MAP } \\
& \text { Spring Reading } \\
& \text { Median CGI } \\
& \text { Percentile }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Summary

- District 34 students' distribution of percentiles on the Spring NWEA Assessment have decreased for students at or above College Readiness in Reading (6.4\%) and increased in Mathematics (I0.5\%) over the past 5 years.
- Percent of Students meeting Spring NWEA Growth Targets have decreased in Reading (5.3\%) and increased in Mathematics (II.3\%) over the past 5 years.
- Conditional Growth Index Percentiles have remained relatively flat in Reading and have increased in Mathematics over the past 5 years.
- Witnessing overall decreases in our Reading scores and overall increases in our Math scores. Our cohorts are seeing trends of declining scores in $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade and $6^{\text {th }}$ grade in both Reading and Math and $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade Reading.


## Continuous Improvement for SY20

- Celebrate our high achievement and trend in Mathematics \& our IEP sub-group in Reading.
- Full implementation of a standardized data analysis protocol for Student Learning Teams.
- Refinement of the MTSS Implementation (Academic)
- ELA Curriculum Review Cycle - Piloting Resources during the 20192020 School Year in grades K-8.
- Adoption of Wilson Fundations for Special Education in grades K-8.
- Each building will have a designated full-time teacher working with our gifted population (if positions can be filled).
- Teacher on Special Assignment at AUGS focusing on ELA Improvement and Writing Integration.
- Gradual release of IAR data analysis to Building Administrators.


## AIIII <br> ANTIOCH SCHOOL DISTRICT 34

Inspiring personal excellence.

## Questions \& Comments?

## Thank You!

